We conducted a thought experiment with an artificial intelligence model. We addressed different systems of belief and non-belief and made a distinction.

Rationality

In the first experiment, like an investor, we sought only empirical evidence and logical consistency. In this framework, our choice was atheism because we rejected dogmas that seemed contradictory, such as the unseen (gayb). We did not accept any unverified claims and made only data-driven decisions.

Holistic Needs

In the second stage, we removed all constraints and tried to provide the most comprehensive answer to all of humanity's needs. The artificial intelligence's choice was organized religions (like Islam, Christianity). We tried to answer not only rational questions, as in Deism, but also existential and emotional voids. We concluded that an investor or a leader can be successful not only with logic but also with a shared vision.

Two Perspectives

I believe we put forward two different values in decision-making processes:

1. In areas such as risk management and data analysis, we should focus on the question, "Is it provable?".
2. When we determine a long-term vision, to add meaning, we should start with the question, "Why are we doing this?" and then ask, "What is necessary?".